Ultrasonic C-scan inspection of Airbus A380 Glare[®] fuselage panels.

ir. Jaap Speijer NDT level 3 UT/ET Fokker Aerostructures BV

Netherlands Aerospace NDT Board, Level2/3 NDT Expert Day, November 18th 2009

STORK

What's it all about ?

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Glare[®]
- 3. C-scan inspection
- 4. Glare[®] Ultrasonics
- 5. Qualification matters
- 6. And now ... for something completely different







What's it all about ?

1. Introduction

- 2. Glare[®]
- 3. C-scan inspection
- 4. Glare[®] Ultrasonics
- 5. Qualification matters
- 6. And now ... for something completely different







NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

Introduction - Fokker Aerostructures BV

Locations Papendrecht and Hoogeveen

Business

Aircraft substructure manufacture for OEM (a.o.Boeing, Airbus, Gulfstream, Dassault, NH90) and special products (a.o missile launch platforms)

Structure materials

Metals, bonded metals, Fibre Metal Laminates (Glare[®]) and composites (CFRP and GFRP; thermoset and thermoplast).





Introduction - The Fokker NDT community

- Methods : UT-PT-RT-ET-MT
- NDT personnel Hoogeveen and Papendrecht

Amount	ET	MT	ΡΤ	RT	UT
Level 1	16	1	1	-	3
Level 2	2	4	7	2	13
Level 3	1	2	2	1	2

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

Introduction - In/outsourced NDT

- Increased use of outside NDT capacity
- FAe NDT (EASA CS 25) not under NANDT authority
- Written Practice comparable to NANDT regulations (AIC-B 11/05).
- FAe Requirements in/outsourced Level 2/3
 - EN4179/NAS410 certified
 - Passed specific and practical examination in corporate and customer specifications



Introduction - Product range C-scan inspection at Papendrecht



NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009



Introduction - Product range C-scan inspection at Papendrecht



NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009



Introduction - Product range C-scan inspection at Papendrecht



NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009



What's it all about ?

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Glare[®]
- 3. C-scan inspection
- 4. Glare[®] Ultrasonics
- 5. Qualification matters
- 6. And now ... for something completely different







NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009



Glare[®]- From scratch to maturity

• 1976-1996 Development of Fibre Metal Laminates

Technical University Delft and Fokker Aircraft BV in joint effort with, Akzo Nobel, Alcoa and others.

- **1996 1998 Continued development** SLC, Alcoa, TU Delft and others
- 1998 2002 Industrialisation

Fokker Aerostructures in joined effort with a.o. TU Delft, FMLC, NLR, Airbus-Germany (launching customer) and authorities.

• 2002 - ... Series production Airbus A380 Fokker Aerostructures (license holder) at Papendrecht and Airbus-Germany at Nordenham.







Glare[®] - A380 Fuselage Sections





NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

J.L. Speijer



12

Glare[®] - Typical A380 fuselage panel



Bonded aluminum stringers

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

J.L. Speijer



13

Glare[®] - The material

Hybrid material built-up from alternating layers of

aluminium and glass fibre layers





Al sheet thickness : 0.3 - 0.4 mm Glass layer thickness : 0.25 - 0.38 mm

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009



Glare[®] - Damage tolerance - fatigue

No fatigue crack failure

- If fatigue cracks occur, propagation in length and depth will be very slow
- Even cracked Glare[®] can in most cases carry ultimate load.





Glare[®] - Fatigue resistance mechanism

Crack initiation

Fatigue (initiation) cracks occur in aluminium layers only, starting in outer aluminium layers.

Fibres stay intact and bridge the fatigue crack

Crack initiations hardly grow or not at all



Glare[®] - Advantages over conventional aluminium

- Lighter structure carrying the same load.
- Less inspections and repairs.
 - No specific inspection for fatigue cracks.
- Better corrosion resistance
- Better fire resistance



What's it all about ?

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Glare[®]

3. C-scan inspection

- 4. Glare[®] Ultrasonics
- 5. Qualification matters
- 6. And now ... for something completely different







NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009



C-scan inspection – Glare® production process



Pretreatment



Lay-up



Autoclave curing



C-scan inspection









Milling

Second bonding cycle

Painting

Transport

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009





C-scan inspection - Through Transmission

Transducer and squirter set-up



NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009



C-scan inspection - Data acquisition



NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

22

C-scan inspection - C-scan image

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

J.L. Speijer

23

C-scan inspection - Defect indications

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

C-scan inspection – Porosity in Glare

Microscopy section

0.5 mm

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

What's it all about ?

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Glare[®]
- 3. C-scan inspection
- 4. Glare[®] Ultrasonics
- 5. Qualification matters
- 6. And now ... for something completely different

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

Glare[®] Ultrasonics - Through Transmission

C-scan image of panel

- Constant laminate thickness.
- Large differences in amplitude.
- Adhesive and prepreg layers
 - different thicknesses
 - varying thickness

Glare[®] Ultrasonics - Pulse Echo

No application in production Glare[®] yet

Pulse echo signals with sufficient signal-to-noise ratio are possible, but

- Fail/success is very hardware dependent.
- Inconsistent back wall echo and defect echo amplitudes for a specific structure. Back wall echo's may even dissappear at all without having defects.
- No control yet over this phenomenom.

Glare[®] ultrasonics – Explaining Mechanism

- Thin layers $(t/\lambda \ll 1)$.
- Multiple reflections from each interface interfere with signal.
- Constructive or destructive interference, depending on layer thicknesses and ultrasonic signal.
- Eigenfrequency transmitted best by each layer ($t=\frac{1}{2}\lambda$)
- The measured signal amplitude strongly depends on the interference result.

Minor change in layer thickness

Glare[®] Ultrasonics <> CFRP Ultrasonics

CFRP part inspection

- Detection with UT through transmisson C-scan.
- Verification/characterisation with manual pulse echo (A-scan).
- Standard as reference for defect characterisation

Glare[®] part inspection

- Detection and characterisation with UT through transmission C-scan.
- No pulse echo technique for verification.
- Local reference for defect characterisation.

Glare[®] Ultrasonics – Pulse echo in the future ?

• Benefits of pulse echo include

- Defect depth information.
- Better distinction between defect types.

• Various institutes have explored this area.

• a.o. TNO-TPD at Delft, TUDelft-LR.

• A solution for production WHO ?

What's it all about ?

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Glare[®]
- 3. C-scan inspection
- 4. Glare[®] Ultrasonics

5. Qualification matters

6. And now ... for something completely different

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

Qualification matters

No qualified process

No part delivery

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

J.L. Speijer

33

Kees de Koning, first CEO of Fokker Aerostructures

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

J.L. Speijer

34

Qualification matters

Qualification refers to all three Inspection process items together

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

Qualification matters

Two important starting points for a qualification

• Qualification ≠ Predictable outcome Predictable timeframe

Investigation !!

Outcome not sure Timeframe highly unsure

• Qualify = Prove what you already know.

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

Qualification matters - principles

• Well defined Inspection procedure

- compliant with Specifications
- compliant with Inspection requirements (defects to be found, etc.)

Well defined Qualification Test Plan

Qualification Matters - Inspection Procedure

Unambiguous - Reproducable - Traceable

Write down what you do and

do what you wrote down.

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

J.L. Speijer

38

Qualification Matters - Qualification Test Plan

• Be specific about every detail:

Test panels, inspection parameter windows, test execution, result evaluation, analysis method, acceptance criteria <u>shall be specific</u>.

- Adequate tests to prove requirements Statistical prove 90% Probability of Detection 95% confidence level.
- Agreement by all parties prior to execution.

Questions Comments

AND NOW FOR SOMETHING COMPLETELY DIFFERENT

NANDT Level 2/3 NDT Expert Day – November 18th 2009

